

The Role of Civil Society Organizations in Promoting Social and Political Change in Indonesia

Yudi Rusfiana¹

Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Jatinangor, Indonesia

Dewi Kurniasih

Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract: Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) serve as vital pillars of societal progress, fostering transparency, accountability, and citizen participation in governance while advocating for human rights, social justice, and environmental sustainability. This study investigates the role of Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in promoting social and political change in Indonesia.

Employing a qualitative research design, data were collected through document analysis and in-depth interviews. Thematic analysis was used to analyze the data, identifying patterns and themes related to CSO strategies, interactions with the state, impact on policy, and challenges

CSOs in Indonesia employ diverse advocacy strategies, including lobbying, public campaigns, grassroots mobilization, and coalition-building, to advance social and political change. They engage with policymakers and government agencies to influence legislation and shape policies. Despite facing challenges, CSOs are crucial in promoting government accountability, transparency, and civic engagement. Recognizing the vital role of CSOs in promoting democratic governance and social justice can foster greater collaboration between civil society, government, and the international community. This study contributes to political science, social sciences, and development studies by offering a comprehensive examination of the role of CSOs in Indonesia. It fills a significant gap in the academic literature by systematically analyzing CSOs' strategies, interactions, impacts, and challenges, providing valuable insights for future research and policy development. Additionally, it underscores the importance of civil society in promoting democratic governance and social change in emerging democracies.

Keywords: Civil Society Organizations, democratic governance, societal progress, social justice

Often described as the arena outside the family, market, and state where individuals associate to advance common interests, civil society is significant in promoting transparency, accountability, and participation in governance (Levkoe et al., 2023). Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) play a pivotal role within civil society. These organizations act as intermediaries between citizens and the state, advocating for policy reforms, raising awareness on critical issues, and holding governments accountable for their actions (Levine et al., 2023).

¹ Corresponding Author: A Lecturer and Vice Rector for Student Affairs of Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Jatinangor, Indonesia. Email: yudirusfiana@ipdn.ac.id

The concept of social capital becomes central to understanding the effectiveness of CSOs. Social capital encompasses the networks, norms, and trust that facilitate coordination and cooperation among people (Neumann et al., 2023). Higher levels of social capital can enhance CSOs' capacity to mobilize resources, engage stakeholders, and influence policy decisions (Sörbom & Jezierska, 2023). Political change, within the context of civil society, encompasses processes such as democratization, policy reform, and shifts in public attitudes and behaviors (Blühdorn & Butzlaff, 2020). CSOs contribute significantly to political change by leveraging their networks and social capital to advocate for reforms and raise awareness about pressing societal issues (Della Porta, 2020). This study aims to utilize theoretical concepts like social capital and political change to analyze the role of CSOs in Indonesia, providing a structured approach to understanding their impact on the country's political and social landscape.

Indonesia, the world's third-largest democracy and the most populous Muslim-majority country, presents a complex and dynamic political environment (Gusman, 2023). Since the fall of Suharto's New Order regime in 1998, Indonesia has undergone significant political transformation, transitioning from authoritarian rule to a democratic system (Wie, 2007). This transition has allowed for the emergence and strengthening of various CSOs, which have played a crucial role in advocating for human rights, social justice, environmental sustainability, and good governance. The Reformasi era after 1998, marking the fall of Suharto's regime, brought about fundamental changes in Indonesia's political landscape (Szczepaniak et al., 2022). It ushered in greater freedom of expression, the establishment of new political parties, and more transparent and competitive elections. Decentralization of power also occurred during this period, transferring significant authority from the central government to regional and local governments (Sukatman & Wuryaningrum, 2024). This shift has fostered a more participatory and inclusive political environment, enabling CSOs to address local issues more effectively.

Additionally, CSOs in Indonesia have been particularly instrumental in promoting good governance. Organizations focused on anti-corruption and transparency have exposed corrupt practices and advocated for reforms, leading to the establishment of bodies like the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) (Syahuri et al., 2022). These organizations often collaborate with the media and other stakeholders to ensure that corruption cases are brought to light and perpetrators are held accountable.

Despite the acknowledged importance of CSOs in democratic societies, there is a notable gap in the academic literature regarding their specific role and impact in Indonesia (Ardi et al., 2023). Existing studies have primarily focused on the state's political institutions, electoral processes, and party dynamics, overlooking the contributions of non-state actors (Hastono & Ratnasari, 2020). While some research has highlighted the activities of prominent CSOs, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that systematically analyze their strategies, interactions with the state, and overall impact on social and political change.

Moreover, Indonesia's unique socio-political context, characterized by its diverse ethnic, religious, and cultural landscape, necessitates a more nuanced understanding of how CSOs operate and influence policy and society (Mahardhika, 2023). Thus, the significant yet underexplored role of CSOs in Indonesia's political and social development forms the basis for this study. Research also depicts that understanding the functions and impacts of Indonesian CSOs is essential, given the country's strategic importance in Southeast Asia and its role as a model for democratization in the region (Agustin et al., 2022; Sukatman & Wuryaningrum, 2024).

This study aims to fill the existing academic gap by providing a detailed examination of CSOs in Indonesia, focusing on their strategies, challenges, and contributions to social and political change. The objectives of this study include identifying the strategies that Indonesian CSOs employ to influence social and political change, exploring the interactions between CSOs and state institutions, examining the role of CSOs in policy reforms and societal attitudes,

investigating the challenges that CSOs face, and providing policy recommendations for enhancing their effectiveness.

By achieving these objectives, the study aims to offer a comprehensive understanding of the role of CSOs in Indonesia, contributing to political science, social sciences, and development studies. The significance of this study extends beyond academic inquiry. For policymakers, understanding the role and challenges of CSOs can inform the development of supportive policies that enhance the effectiveness of these organizations. For practitioners within CSOs, the findings can offer insights into best practices and strategies for overcoming challenges. Additionally, for the broader public and international community, this study can highlight the importance of civil society in promoting democratic governance and social justice.

The study is structured to thoroughly examine the role of CSOs in Indonesia. Following this introduction, the literature review contextualizes the study within existing research and theoretical frameworks. The methodology section will outline the research design, data collection methods, and analytical approaches. The conclusion summarizes the key findings, discusses their implications, and offers recommendations for future research and policy.

Literature Review

Civil society's historical lineage traces back to classical political theory, extolling the role of voluntary associations in fostering democratic ethos and societal cohesion (Urdapilleta-Carrasco et al., 2023). However, the contours of civil society have evolved over time, reflecting changing socio-political landscapes and theoretical paradigms. In contemporary political discourse, civil society resonates with themes of democratic governance, transparency, and accountability. The seminal work of Durlauf (2002), "Bowling Alone," resonates deeply in this arena, delineating the significance of social capital, the networks, norms, and trust among individuals in bolstering civil society's efficacy. This exploration underscores how robust social capital cultivates civic engagement and democratic participation, which is pivotal for nurturing a vibrant civil society.

Beyond Durlauf's framework, various theoretical lenses offer nuanced perspectives on civil society's dynamics. Gramsci (2006) conceptualization of civil society as a terrain of ideological contention posits civil society organizations (CSOs) as agents capable of challenging hegemonic structures and advocating alternative societal visions. Similarly, Jurgen Habermas's notion of the public sphere elucidates civil society's role in facilitating rational-critical deliberations that shape political discourse and decision-making (Susen, 2023).

Indonesia's Complex Political and Social Terrain and CSOs Emergence

Indonesia's trajectory from authoritarianism to democracy offers a rich tapestry for examining civil society's agency within transitional contexts. The Reformasi movement, culminating in Suharto's ouster in 1998, epitomizes the potency of civil society activism in precipitating democratic reforms (Szczepaniak et al., 2022). Post-Suharto Indonesia has witnessed a proliferation of CSOs operating across diverse thematic arenas, including human rights, environmental advocacy, and gender equity (Latepo et al., 2021). These CSOs serve as crucial conduits for articulating societal aspirations, monitoring governmental performance, and fostering participatory governance (Suwardi & Chambers, 2023). However, Indonesia's democratic consolidation remains fraught with challenges, ranging from institutional frailties to persistent corruption and rights abuses (Tambunan, 2023). The nation grapples with oscillations between democratic ethos and authoritarian backsliding, underscoring the complex interplay of reformist zeal and entrenched power dynamics (Rahayu et al., 2023).

Against this backdrop, CSOs navigate an intricate terrain, navigating between opportunities for advocacy and constraints posed by political exigencies (Hastono & Ratnasari, 2020). CSOs emerge as pivotal protagonists in democratization endeavors and social movements, wielding influence beyond formal political institutions. CSOs assume multifaceted roles within democratizing contexts, from safeguarding electoral integrity to championing human rights and policy reforms (Syakur & Khoiroh, 2021). Their contributions span diverse domains, encompassing voter education, election monitoring, and advocacy for institutional reforms. In regions like central Asia, CSOs have been instrumental in fostering democratic transitions and post-authoritarian reckonings (Levine et al., 2023). Their interventions range from grassroots mobilization to advocacy for transitional justice mechanisms, thereby shaping the contours of emerging democracies (Knox & Sharipova, 2024). Social movement theory provides analytical scaffolding to comprehend the dynamics underpinning CSO-led mobilizations.

Civil Society, Development, Globalization, and Technological Transformations

Expanding the discourse, civil society's interface with development processes merits attention. CSOs often serve as critical stakeholders in development initiatives, bridging gaps between state institutions, private sector entities, and grassroots communities. Their interventions encompass a spectrum of activities, from service delivery and capacity-building to advocacy for inclusive policies and equitable resource allocation (Weber et al., 2024). Moreover, civil society's role in fostering social cohesion and resilience amidst developmental challenges is noteworthy. In contexts marked by socioeconomic disparities and environmental vulnerabilities, CSOs mobilize local resources, foster community solidarity, and advocate for sustainable development practices (Borkowska et al., 2023; Santos et al., 2023). Furthermore, their engagement in participatory governance mechanisms enhances accountability and transparency, bolstering democratic governance frameworks (Obibuaku & Edeji, 2024).

Moreover, the advent of globalization has reshaped civil society dynamics, engendering opportunities and challenges for CSOs. On the one hand, globalization facilitates transnational advocacy networks, enabling CSOs to mobilize support and resources across borders (Jönsson & Tallberg, 2023). Transnational CSOs, such as Amnesty International and Greenpeace, leverage global platforms to address cross-cutting issues like human rights, environmental sustainability, and economic justice (Nedziwe & Tella, 2023). On the other hand, globalization's uneven impacts exacerbate socio-economic inequalities and marginalization, posing formidable hurdles for civil society activism (Castellano, 2023; Pham, 2018). CSOs confront dilemmas of legitimacy, accountability, and resource dependency amidst globalization's flux. Moreover, the proliferation of non-state actors, including multinational corporations and philanthropic foundations, complicates civil society's interface with global governance frameworks (Ralston et al., 2024), thus making the exploration of CSOs' role imperative in terms of extracting societal benefits in emerging economies.

Furthermore, the digital revolution has catalyzed transformative shifts in civil society's modus operandi, ushering in an era of digital activism and networked advocacy (Liang & Li, 2023). Social media platforms, in particular, have democratized information dissemination and mobilization (Mansoor, 2021), enabling CSOs to amplify their voices and reach diverse constituencies (Wojczewski et al., 2023). Digital technologies have galvanized grassroots movements and transcended traditional barriers to collective action. However, the digital realm is not devoid of pitfalls for civil society. Concerns about digital surveillance, online censorship, and information manipulation loom large, constraining civil society's online freedoms and activism (Mai et al., 2023). Moreover, the digital divide exacerbates access to information and technology inequalities, marginalizing vulnerable populations from digital civic spaces

(Amruloh & Putri, 2023). Hence, studying Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) becomes increasingly imperative amidst the digital era's transformative influence on grassroots movements. It provides insight into how these entities navigate and harness digitization and decentralization to advance their causes while confronting the challenges of digital surveillance, censorship, and information manipulation.

Research Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore the role of civil society organizations (CSOs). The qualitative approach was chosen due to its suitability for examining complex social phenomena, understanding the perspectives of various stakeholders, and capturing the contextual nuances of CSO activities and impacts. Data collection involved a combination of document analysis and in-depth interviews. The following methods were employed: Relevant documents, including annual reports, policy briefs, press releases, and publications, were examined. Additionally, media articles, government reports, and academic publications were analyzed to contextualize the findings and provide a broader perspective on the CSOs' roles and challenges. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with officials, academics, and activists. The documents analyzed spanned from the year 2010 to 2023. This period was chosen to capture recent developments and trends in the activities and impacts of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia, providing a comprehensive view of their role in promoting social and political change over the past decade.

The interviews aimed to capture the experiences, perspectives, and insights of those directly involved in or knowledgeable about the activities of CSOs in Indonesia. Informed consent was obtained from all interview participants. They were provided information about the study's purpose, procedures, potential risks, benefits, and their rights as participants. The confidentiality of the participants was strictly maintained. Identifiable information was anonymized in the transcripts and reports to protect the interviewees' privacy. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary. Participants were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences. The study received ethical approval from the relevant institutional review board, ensuring all research activities complied with ethical standards and guidelines.

The interviews were semi-structured, allowing for flexibility while covering key topics. We asked interviewees about their organization's objectives and activities, strategies for achieving their goals, major challenges faced, and their impact and achievements. Additionally, we explored their interactions with government institutions, including both cooperation and conflicts. This format provided in-depth insights into each organization's role and experiences. Additionally, the study used purposive sampling to select a representative sample of CSOs in Indonesia, ensuring a diverse range of activities and impacts. A total of 20 interviewees were included, representing various CSOs such as Yayasan TIFA, Green Indonesia Foundation (YHB), Kalyanamitra, Wahana Visi Indonesia, Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW), KontraS, Indonesian Legal Aid Foundation (YLBHI), and Urban Poor Consortium (UPC). The interviews were conducted between January and June 2023.

The interviews were conducted primarily through face-to-face meetings, with some conducted via video calls due to geographical constraints. All interviews were recorded with the consent of the participants and subsequently transcribed for analysis. Member checking was employed to validate the findings, allowing interviewees to review and confirm the accuracy of their responses. This approach ensured the reliability and validity of the collected data, providing a comprehensive understanding of the role of CSOs in promoting social and political change in Indonesia.

Analysis and Results

The researchers collected data from interviews with government officials, academics, and activists and documents such as annual reports, policy briefs, press releases, and academic publications. Each source provided unique insights into the role and impact of CSOs in Indonesia. Each source offered unique insights into the role and impact of CSOs in Indonesia. After gathering data from different sources, the researchers compared and cross-referenced the information to identify common patterns, themes, and discrepancies. For example, if information obtained from interviews with activists about CSO strategies aligned with the content of CSO annual reports or policy briefs, it added credibility to the findings. The researchers comprehensively understood the research questions by triangulating data from multiple sources. This approach allowed them to corroborate their findings and ensure their conclusions were well-supported and reliable. The transcriptions and documents were coded using a coding framework developed based on the research objectives and key themes identified during the literature review.

The coding process involved assigning labels to relevant text segments to organize the data into meaningful categories. The coded data were reviewed to identify recurring patterns and themes. These themes were then refined and categorized to reflect the key findings related to the strategies, interactions, impacts, and challenges of CSOs. Examples of documents used for triangulation included annual reports. CSOs often publish annual reports detailing their activities, achievements, and challenges. These reports provide valuable insights into the organization's priorities, strategies, and impact. For instance, analyzing annual reports of prominent CSOs like KontraS or WALHI could offer data on their advocacy campaigns, grassroots initiatives, and policy impacts. CSOs frequently produce policy briefs to communicate their research findings, policy recommendations, and advocacy positions to policymakers, stakeholders, and the public. Moreover, CSOs issue press releases to announce their activities, events, or responses to current events or policy developments.

Academic publications, including journal articles, books, and research papers, provide scholarly perspectives on CSO activities, impacts, and challenges. To ensure the comprehensiveness and academic rigor of this study, we employed a systematic approach for selecting journal articles and books. Extensive searches were conducted in academic databases such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and ProQuest, chosen for their wide coverage of peer-reviewed journals, books, and research papers across various disciplines. Searches were performed using a combination of keywords and phrases relevant to the study, including "civil society organizations," "CSOs in Indonesia," "social change," "political change," "advocacy strategies," "human rights," "environmental sustainability," "gender equality," and "policy impact." The inclusion criteria required that journal articles and books be published between 2010 and 2023, be peer-reviewed or published by reputable academic publishers, be relevant to the themes of CSO activities, strategies, impacts, and challenges in Indonesia, and be written in English or Bahasa Indonesia.

Abstracts and summaries were first evaluated, then the full texts of the most pertinent documents were examined in detail. By triangulating data from these diverse sources, the researchers strengthened the validity and reliability of their findings on the role of CSOs in promoting social and political change in Indonesia. Table 1 shows the extracted themes, sub-themes, and coding.

Table 1
Extracted Themes, Sub-themes, and Coding

Theme	Sub-theme	Coding
CSO Strategies	Advocacy Strategies	Lobbying
		Public Campaigns
		Grassroots Mobilization
	Targeted Issue Areas	Coalition-building
		Human Rights
		Environmental Sustainability
		Legal Reforms
	Tactics and Approaches	Gender Equality
		Policy Analysis
		Litigation
Interactions with the State	Cooperative Relationships	Public Education
		Media Engagement
	Adversarial Dynamics	Policy Dialogues
		Collaboration on Projects
		Government Consultations
		Legal Challenges
		Repression and Intimidation
		Surveillance
		Changes in Legislation
		Advocacy for Legal Reforms
Impact on Policy	Legislative Impact	Monitoring Government Performance
		Transparency Initiatives
	Government Accountability	Public Education Campaigns
		Social Media Influence
	Community Empowerment	Grassroots Initiatives
Challenges and Obstacles	Political Repression	Capacity-building Programs
		Legal Restrictions
	Financial Constraints	Harassment and Intimidation
		Dependence on External Funding
		Competition for Resources
	Organizational Capacity	Leadership Challenges
		Governance Issues
		Strategic Planning
	Internal Dynamics	Decision-making Processes
		Accountability Mechanisms
Organizational Culture		

CSO Strategies

Results revealed that CSOs employ various advocacy strategies tailored to the socio-political landscape of Indonesia. These strategies include lobbying, public campaigns, grassroots mobilization, and coalition-building. CSOs such as KontraS have actively lobbied government officials and lawmakers to address human rights violations, demonstrating a direct engagement with decision-makers. For example, one interviewee from ICW mentioned, “Our advocacy work involves not only monitoring government activities but also actively engaging with policymakers to push for anti-corruption reforms.” Public campaigns and grassroots mobilization have been instrumental in raising awareness and mobilizing public support for issues like environmental sustainability, as seen in the efforts of organizations like WALHI.

Coalition-building has emerged as a strategic approach for CSOs to amplify their impact and advocate for systemic change by forming alliances with like-minded organizations.

Interactions with State Institutions

While there have been instances of cooperation between CSOs and state institutions, interviews also highlighted challenges, including political repression, legal restrictions, and surveillance. For instance, a participant from KontraS highlighted the challenges they face: “Political repression remains a significant obstacle. We constantly deal with surveillance, which hinders our ability to operate freely.” Constructive engagement between CSOs and government agencies fosters transparency and improves governance. However, there is a need for greater collaboration to address shared challenges and achieve common goals.

Impact on Policy

CSOs have significantly contributed to Indonesia’s policy reforms, government accountability, and public awareness. Through advocacy campaigns, research, and grassroots initiatives, CSOs have influenced policy outcomes and raised public awareness of critical issues. Their efforts have led to changes in legislation, improved government transparency, and empowered local communities to advocate for their rights and interests.

Challenges and Obstacles

Interviews identified several challenges CSOs face, including political repression, financial constraints, organizational capacity issues, and internal dynamics. Political repression, including harassment and intimidation, remains a significant obstacle to CSO effectiveness. Financial constraints and dependence on external funding sources also limit their ability to conduct work effectively. Additionally, organizational capacity issues, such as leadership and governance, pose challenges to CSO sustainability and impact.

Discussion and Conclusion

CSO Strategies

Civil society organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia deploy diverse advocacy strategies tailored to the country’s socio-political landscape. The organizations were selected through purposive sampling to ensure a diverse and representative range of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia. This selection included prominent and influential CSOs such as KontraS and Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW) for their significant impact on human rights and anti-corruption. The chosen organizations also represent various thematic focuses, like environmental conservation and gender equality, and cover different geographical regions. Their activities and experiences align with the themes and sub-themes in Table 2, reflecting key aspects of CSO strategies, impacts, and challenges identified in the study.

These strategies, including lobbying, public campaigns, grassroots mobilization, and coalition-building, are crucial in advancing social and political change. For instance, CSOs like KontraS engage directly with policymakers and lawmakers to influence legislation and advocate for reforms, particularly concerning human rights violations (Rahman et al., 2024). They bridge the gap between citizens’ voices and government actions through lobbying. Similarly, organizations such as WALHI leverage public campaigns to raise awareness about environmental issues like deforestation, environmental degradation, and indigenous land rights

(Juliastri & Tamma, 2024). Moreover, grassroots mobilization empowers local communities and marginalized groups to advocate for their rights and interests, ensuring their participation in national and local decision-making processes. CSOs also form alliances and networks with like-minded organizations and grassroots movements to amplify their impact and advocate for systemic change. These coalitions enable CSOs to tackle complex issues collaboratively, as illustrated in the extensive and detailed Table 2.

Table 2
CSO Strategies

Issue Area	CSO Organization	Advocacy Focus
Human Rights	KontraS	Justice, Accountability, Protection of Human Rights Defenders
Environmental	WALHI	Protection of Natural Resources, Ecosystems, Indigenous Rights
Sustainability	Greenpeace Indonesia	Climate Change Mitigation, Renewable Energy, Pollution Control
Legal Reforms	LBH	Legal Assistance, Advocacy for Legal Reforms
Gender Equality	Kalyanamitra	Women's Rights, Gender-based Violence Prevention, Empowerment
Education	Pustaka Solidaritas	Access to Education, Quality Education, Educational Equity

The findings also revealed that CSOs in Indonesia focus on various issues essential for the country's socio-economic development and democratic consolidation. For instance, LBH provides legal assistance to marginalized communities and advocates for legal reforms to combat corruption and uphold the rule of law (Suparno & Qorib, 2024). Moreover, CSOs like Kalyanamitra promote gender equality by advocating for women's rights, preventing gender-based violence, and empowering women (Prastiwi, 2023). These organizations contribute significantly to social and political change in Indonesia by addressing systemic injustices, promoting human rights, and advocating for policy reforms. Through a combination of advocacy strategies, targeted issue areas, and collaborative efforts, CSOs play a crucial role in shaping public policies, raising awareness, and mobilizing communities for positive change.

Interactions with the State

The research findings illuminate the intricate and ever-evolving relationship between civil society organizations (CSOs) and state institutions in Indonesia. This relationship spans a spectrum, ranging from cooperative mechanisms to adversarial dynamics, each exerting its influence on the landscape of governance and advocacy within the country. Previous research shows that cooperative relationships between CSOs and state institutions are pivotal for nurturing dialogue, fostering partnerships, and driving collaboration toward common objectives (Bertilsson, 2023).

This investigation uncovered several avenues through which such cooperation materializes. Policy dialogues, for instance, emerge as crucial platforms for CSOs to engage with policymakers and government agencies on pressing issues. These dialogues facilitate open discussions, information exchange, and consensus-building, empowering CSOs to advocate effectively for their concerns and sway policy decisions. For instance, CSOs advocating for environmental causes actively participate in policy dialogues, contributing valuable insights to shape policies addressing issues like deforestation and climate change.

Moreover, collaborative projects between CSOs and state entities signify joint efforts to tackle shared challenges and achieve collective goals (Bolton et al., 2023). Likewise, by

combining resources, expertise, and networks in Indonesia, CSOs and the government can implement initiatives with amplified impact and sustainable outcomes. For example, collaborative endeavors in education reform witness CSOs collaborating with the Ministry of Education to implement teacher training programs or develop curriculum materials (Setyorini & Andini, 2023). These partnerships represent the potential synergy between civil society and the state in addressing complex societal issues effectively. Furthermore, government consultations provide CSOs with opportunities to provide input, feedback, and recommendations on draft policies and legislation. Through active engagement in such consultations, CSOs champion the interests of marginalized communities and ensure policies are inclusive and responsive to societal needs. Their involvement not only strengthens democratic governance but also advances social justice and human rights within Indonesia.

Despite these cooperative efforts, this research also unveiled adversarial dynamics characterized by legal challenges, repression, and surveillance. CSOs frequently encounter legal hurdles, including restrictive laws and legal harassment, impeding their ability to operate freely and hampering dissent. Laws such as the Law on Mass Organizations impose stringent registration requirements and curtail freedom of expression, eroding civil liberties and stifling opposition (Armiwulan et al., 2021). Moreover, CSOs and activists face various forms of repression and intimidation by state authorities, ranging from harassment to physical violence. The government's crackdown on civil society has escalated in recent years, marked by heightened surveillance and crackdowns on peaceful protests and dissent. The surveillance of CSOs and activists by state intelligence agencies poses a significant threat to freedom of association, privacy, and expression. It engenders a climate of fear and self-censorship, eroding trust and cooperation between CSOs and the government, impeding constructive dialogue and engagement.

The interactions between CSOs and state institutions in Indonesia underscore a complex interplay of cooperation and conflict with profound implications for governance, advocacy, and democracy. While cooperative mechanisms foster dialogue and collaboration, adversarial dynamics present formidable challenges to civil liberties and democratic principles. These findings highlight the imperative of safeguarding civil society space, promoting transparency and accountability, and upholding human rights within Indonesia's evolving political landscape. Moreover, Table 3 shows in detail the interaction types between with description Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and State Institutions in Indonesia.

Table 3

Interactions between Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and State Institutions in Indonesia

Interaction Type	Description
Policy Dialogues	Forums for CSOs to engage with policymakers and government agencies, facilitating open discussions and consensus-building on critical issues. CSOs advocate for their concerns and influence policy decisions.
Collaboration on Projects	Joint efforts between CSOs and state institutions to address shared challenges and achieve common objectives. Projects involve pooling resources, expertise, and networks to implement greater impact and sustainability initiatives.
Government Consultations	Opportunities for CSOs to provide input, feedback, and recommendations on draft policies and legislation. CSOs advocate for marginalized communities and ensure policies are inclusive and responsive to societal needs. Participation strengthens democratic governance and advances human rights.
Legal Challenges	CSOs encounter legal obstacles such as restrictive laws and legal harassment, hindering their ability to operate freely. Laws like the Law on Mass Organizations impose burdensome registration requirements and restrict freedom of expression, undermining civil liberties and stifling dissent.
Repression and Intimidation	CSOs and activists face various forms of repression and intimidation by state authorities, including harassment, surveillance, and physical violence. The government's crackdown on civil society has intensified, with increased surveillance and crackdowns on peaceful protests and dissent.
Surveillance	State intelligence agencies monitor the activities, communications, and movements of CSOs and activists, creating a climate of fear and self-censorship. Surveillance undermines trust and cooperation between CSOs and the government, hindering constructive dialogue and engagement.

Impact on Policy

This research underscores the profound impact civil society organizations (CSOs) wield in shaping legislative agendas, advocating for legal reforms, and influencing policy outcomes in Indonesia. The findings show that CSOs are instrumental in advocating for legal reforms to fortify the rule of law, safeguard fundamental rights, and promote good governance across the nation. Entities such as the Legal Aid Institute (LBH) are pivotal in providing legal assistance to marginalized communities, challenging discriminatory laws, and advocating for changes to existing legislation (Chandra, 2023). For instance, LBH Jakarta's advocacy efforts have pushed for reforms to the criminal justice system, enhanced access to legal aid, and bolstered procedural safeguards for defendants. In addition to their legislative advocacy, CSOs serve as vigilant watchdogs, monitoring government performance, promoting transparency, and holding authorities accountable for their actions.

Table 4 depicts the detailed policy implications. It shows that CSOs are indispensable agents of change in Indonesia, wielding considerable influence in shaping policies, promoting accountability, and empowering communities. Their tireless efforts in advocacy, monitoring, public education, and community empowerment underscore their critical role in advancing democracy, human rights, and social justice across the nation (Fisher-Grafy & Halabi, 2023).

Table 4
Impact of Civil Society Organizations on Policy and Governance in Indonesia

Impact Area	Description
Legislative Advocacy	CSOs advocate for legal reforms and influence policy outcomes through advocacy efforts, lobbying campaigns, and public mobilization. This advocacy leads to legislative changes in various areas, such as human rights, environmental protection, gender equality, and anti-corruption measures.
Accountability Monitoring	CSOs monitor government performance, research corruption, and public service delivery, and advocate for transparency and accountability. They provide independent assessments, publish reports, and hold authorities accountable for their actions, ensuring that governance remains transparent, effective, and responsive to the population's needs.
Public Education and Mobilization	CSOs conduct public education campaigns, leverage social media platforms, and empower communities to raise awareness about pressing social issues. They organize workshops, seminars, and educational programs, disseminate informational materials, and utilize social media campaigns to engage citizens, mobilize public support, and promote civic participation and social change.
Community Empowerment	CSOs work closely with marginalized groups, including women, youth, and indigenous communities, to facilitate community development projects and advocate for their rights and interests. They provide training, technical assistance, and resources to strengthen the capacity of individuals and organizations, empowering them to participate in decision-making processes and advocate for change effectively. CSOs promote grassroots initiatives, community organizing, and networking, fostering sustainable development and social change from the ground up.
Policy Dialogues	CSOs engage in policy dialogues with policymakers, legislators, and government agencies to discuss critical issues, share information, and influence policy decisions. These dialogues facilitate open discussions, consensus-building, and the exchange of ideas, enabling CSOs to articulate their concerns, propose solutions, and advocate for policy reforms collaboratively.
Collaboration on Projects	CSOs collaborate with state institutions on joint projects to address shared challenges and achieve common objectives. These collaborative initiatives involve pooling resources, expertise, and networks, leading to the implementation of impactful and sustainable interventions. CSOs work with government agencies, academic institutions, and international organizations to undertake research projects, capacity-building programs, and community development activities that benefit society as a whole.
Government Consultations	CSOs participate in government consultations to provide input, feedback, and recommendations on draft policies and legislation. They represent the interests of marginalized communities, advocate for inclusive policies, and ensure that decision-making processes are transparent and responsive to societal needs. Through active participation in consultations, CSOs contribute to strengthening democratic governance, promoting social justice, and advancing human rights in Indonesia.
Legal Challenges	CSOs face legal challenges, including restrictive laws, regulations, and legal harassment, hindering their ability to operate freely and effectively. They encounter laws such as the Law on Mass Organizations and the Law on Electronic Information and Transactions, which impose burdensome registration requirements, restrict freedom of expression, and criminalize peaceful dissent. CSOs advocate for legal reforms to safeguard civil liberties, protect human rights defenders, and uphold the rule of law in Indonesia.
Repression and Intimidation	CSOs and activists are subjected to various forms of repression and intimidation by state authorities, including harassment, surveillance, and physical violence. They face threats to their safety and security, with many experiencing surveillance, intimidation, and attacks by state agents and non-state actors. Despite these challenges, CSOs remain committed to their advocacy work, often at significant personal risk. They continue to mobilize public support, document human rights abuses, and hold authorities accountable for their actions.
Surveillance	State intelligence agencies conduct surveillance operations targeting CSOs, journalists, and human rights defenders, monitoring their activities, communications, and movements. Surveillance undermines trust and cooperation between CSOs and the government, inhibiting their ability to carry out advocacy work effectively and contributing to a climate of fear and self-censorship. CSOs advocate for protecting freedom of association, privacy, and expression, calling for ending surveillance practices that undermine democratic principles and human rights in Indonesia.

Challenges and Obstacles Facing Civil Society Organizations

The landscape of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia is fraught with many challenges, each posing significant obstacles to their operations and effectiveness. Political repression stands as a formidable barrier, with the government often resorting to crackdowns on dissent and curtailing freedom of expression. For instance, the controversial revision to the Criminal Code in 2019 was perceived as a threat to peaceful protest and dissent, indicative of a broader pattern of arbitrary arrests and intimidation tactics (Rusydiarta, 2021). Legal restrictions further exacerbate the situation, with laws like the Law on Mass Organizations imposing stringent registration requirements, curtailing organizational freedoms, and limiting advocacy efforts. The chilling effect of these laws inhibits the ability of CSOs to advocate for human rights and social justice effectively.

Harassment, intimidation, and violence directed at CSOs and activists compound the challenges they face, with human rights defenders, environmental activists, and journalists often bearing the brunt of such attacks (Robet et al., 2023). These threats endanger individuals' safety and security and foster a climate of fear and self-censorship within civil society. Financial constraints present yet another hurdle, as many organizations struggle to secure sustainable funding amidst heavy reliance on international donors (Diprose, 2023). This dependence raises concerns about autonomy and accountability, with CSOs often pressured to align their agendas with donor priorities. Moreover, the proliferation of CSOs in Indonesia has increased competition for limited resources, hindering collaboration and collective action. Organizational capacity constraints further impede CSOs' ability to plan and implement programs effectively, with many lacking expertise in critical areas such as project management and financial planning. Leadership challenges compound these issues, with succession planning, governance, and transparency often falling short of best practices (Irawan, 2023). Strategic planning, essential for navigating complex challenges, remains underdeveloped, leaving many CSOs vulnerable to external disruptions and shifting political landscapes.

Internally, CSOs grapple with decision-making processes, accountability mechanisms, and organizational culture issues. Inclusivity and transparency in decision-making are often lacking, leading to conflicts and mistrust among staff and volunteers (Annahar et al., 2023). Similarly, weak accountability mechanisms hinder organizations' ability to assess and improve their effectiveness (Hastono & Ratnasari, 2020; Prince, 2023). Cultivating a culture of transparency and integrity remains a challenge for many CSOs, impacting staff morale and organizational cohesion. Thus, the myriad challenges facing CSOs in Indonesia underscore the need for concerted efforts to safeguard civil society space, promote transparency and accountability, and bolster organizational capacity. The detailed analysis presented in Table 5 highlights the multifaceted nature of the challenges facing CSOs in Indonesia, emphasizing the need for comprehensive strategies to address these issues effectively.

Table 5
Challenges and Obstacles Facing Civil Society Organizations in Indonesia

Challenge/Obstacle	Description
Political Repression	Government crackdowns on dissent, arbitrary arrests, and restrictions on freedom of expression and assembly pose significant challenges to CSOs' operations and advocacy efforts.
Legal Restrictions	Restrictive laws and regulations, such as the Law on Mass Organizations and the Law on Electronic Information and Transactions, limit organizational freedoms and hinder CSOs' ability to advocate for human rights and social justice.
Harassment and Intimidation	CSOs and activists face threats to their safety and security, including harassment, intimidation, and physical violence, perpetuating a climate of fear and self-censorship within civil society.
Financial Constraints	Heavy reliance on international donors, restrictive government regulations, and limited resource access challenge CSOs' financial sustainability and autonomy.
Competition for Resources	The proliferation of CSOs in Indonesia has increased competition for funding, expertise, and networking opportunities, hindering collaboration and collective action.
Organizational Capacity Issues	Limited expertise in critical areas such as project management, financial planning, governance, and leadership challenges impede CSOs' effectiveness and impact.
Strategic Planning Dilemmas	Underdeveloped strategic planning processes and frameworks leave CSOs vulnerable to external disruptions and shifting political landscapes, hindering long-term sustainability and growth.
Internal Dynamics	Inclusivity and transparency in decision-making, weak accountability mechanisms, and challenges in cultivating a culture of transparency and integrity impact CSOs' internal cohesion and effectiveness.

Study Implications

The findings highlight the critical role of CSOs in influencing policy reforms and promoting social justice in Indonesia.

- The detailed exploration of CSO strategies, such as lobbying, public campaigns, grassroots mobilization, and coalition-building, provides practical insights for other CSOs aiming to enhance their advocacy efforts. Policymakers and governmental bodies can also draw from these findings to better understand the value of engaging with CSOs in policy dialogues and collaborative projects, fostering a more inclusive and participatory approach to governance.
- The study identifies significant challenges CSOs face, including political repression, financial constraints, and organizational capacity issues. These insights are crucial for international donors, policymakers, and other stakeholders who support civil society. By understanding these obstacles, they can tailor their support to address specific needs, such as capacity-building initiatives, funding diversification strategies, and legal protections for activists and organizations. Strengthening the resilience of CSOs through targeted interventions can enhance their sustainability and effectiveness in advocating for social and political change.
- The adversarial dynamics between CSOs and the state, particularly legal challenges and repression, underscore the need for legal and institutional reforms to safeguard civil society space. The study's findings can inform legislative efforts to create a more enabling environment for CSOs, ensuring their ability to operate freely and

effectively. Legal reforms to reduce bureaucratic hurdles, protect freedom of expression and assembly, and enhance transparency and accountability in government actions are critical for fostering a vibrant and independent civil society.

- The research highlights the diverse issue areas and advocacy tactics CSOs employ, from human rights and environmental sustainability to gender equality and legal reforms. This diversity reflects the broad spectrum of social and political issues in Indonesia, emphasizing the need for inclusive and intersectional approaches to advocacy. Stakeholders can leverage these insights to promote collaboration among CSOs working on different issues, fostering a more united and effective civil society movement. Additionally, recognizing and supporting the unique contributions of marginalized groups within the civil society sector can enhance overall advocacy efforts and ensure that diverse voices are represented in decision-making processes.
- By examining the Indonesian context, this study contributes to the broader discourse on the role of CSOs in promoting social and political change in diverse political environments. The insights gained from Indonesia can be valuable for understanding civil society dynamics in other countries facing similar challenges. Comparative studies can further enrich this understanding, offering cross-cultural perspectives and strategies that can be adapted to different contexts. Researchers and practitioners can use the findings to identify best practices, share lessons learned, and foster global solidarity among CSOs working towards common goals.

Study Recommendations

Several key recommendations are proposed for CSOs, policymakers, and international donors to enhance the effectiveness, sustainability, and impact of civil society organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia. These recommendations emphasize supportive policies, capacity building, and strategic collaboration.

- CSOs should strengthen their advocacy and lobbying strategies by diversifying their tactics, engaging in policy dialogues, and leveraging grassroots mobilization alongside public campaigns. Investing in capacity building is crucial; CSOs should prioritize training and professional development for staff to improve project management, strategic planning, financial management, and legal literacy skills. Strengthening leadership and governance through robust structures and succession plans will ensure organizational stability and accountability.
- Furthermore, fostering collaboration and coalition-building is essential. CSOs should form strategic alliances with other CSOs, NGOs, and grassroots movements to pool resources, share expertise, and coordinate advocacy efforts. Engaging with local communities through participatory approaches will ensure their voices and needs are central to advocacy and programmatic activities. Improving internal governance and accountability is also vital. CSOs should establish transparent decision-making mechanisms to enhance internal cohesion and trust and implement accountability mechanisms by creating formal evaluation and learning systems to assess organizational performance and impact regularly.
- Policymakers play a crucial role in creating an enabling legal and regulatory environment. They should reform restrictive laws, such as the Law on Mass Organizations and the Law on Electronic Information and Transactions, to reduce burdensome registration requirements and protect freedom of expression and association. Enhancing protection for CSOs and activists is also essential, requiring mechanisms to safeguard human rights defenders, activists, and journalists from harassment, intimidation, and violence. Promoting inclusive and participatory

governance involves institutionalizing civil society participation in policy-making processes ensuring that CSOs are systematically included in public hearings, stakeholder meetings, and advisory committees. Additionally, supporting transparency and accountability initiatives by facilitating access to information, open data, and public participation in government decision-making will promote transparency and accountability.

- International donors and development partners should provide sustainable funding and support to CSOs. Encouraging CSOs to explore diverse funding opportunities will reduce dependency on a single source and enhance financial stability. Supporting long-term projects and funding initiatives that align with the core missions and goals of CSOs will further enhance their capacity and impact. Facilitating capacity building and technical assistance by providing training and resources will help CSOs build their operational capacities, especially in strategic planning, advocacy, and financial management. Promoting knowledge sharing through platforms for exchange and best practices among CSOs, donors, and other stakeholders will enhance learning and innovation. Encouraging collaborative efforts involves supporting coalition-building initiatives that promote collaborative advocacy efforts among CSOs, NGOs, and community groups. Finally, engaging in multi-stakeholder partnerships by facilitating partnerships between CSOs, government agencies, academic institutions, and the private sector will address complex social and political challenges collaboratively.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

While this study endeavors to comprehensively analyze Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) in Indonesia, it is essential to acknowledge certain limitations that may temper the breadth and depth of its findings. Firstly, the scope of this study is delimited by the available literature and data sources, which may not capture the full spectrum of CSO activities and their impacts. The dynamic nature of civil society, coupled with the diverse array of CSOs operating in Indonesia, poses a challenge in comprehensively documenting their strategies, interactions, and outcomes. Moreover, the qualitative nature of much of the existing literature may constrain the generalizability of findings, warranting caution in extrapolating conclusions beyond the specific contexts examined. Secondly, while efforts have been made to incorporate a multidisciplinary perspective into this study, there remains room for further interdisciplinary collaboration and integration of diverse theoretical frameworks. Civil society scholarship draws upon insights from political science, sociology, anthropology, and other disciplines, yet cohesively synergizing these perspectives remains an ongoing endeavor. Future research could explore innovative methodologies and theoretical syntheses to deepen our understanding of the complexities inherent in civil society dynamics.

Several avenues for future research warrant exploration in studying CSOs in Indonesia. Firstly, longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of CSOs over time could provide valuable insights into patterns of continuity and change, shedding light on the factors driving organizational resilience or vulnerability. Secondly, comparative analyses across different regions or countries could offer a comparative lens to examine variations in civil society structures, strategies, and impacts. By juxtaposing Indonesian CSOs with their counterparts in other contexts, researchers can elucidate context-specific dynamics and identify lessons learned for enhancing organizational effectiveness and resilience. Moreover, there is a need for more novel analyses of the intersectionality of civil society with other societal actors, including the state, private sector, and grassroots movements. Understanding how CSOs navigate complex

networks of power and influence, negotiate competing interests, and forge strategic alliances can provide valuable insights into governance and social change dynamics.

References

- Agustin, M. J., Usman, U., & Umar, F. (2022). Socio-cultural aspects of the risk of diabetes mellitus in Tolotang traditional chairman (UWA) in Sidrap District. *Indonesian Health Journal*, 1(2), 62–69. <https://ihj.rivierapublishing.id/index.php/rp/article/view/19/21>
- Amruloh, M. C., & Putri, R. L. (2023). Implementation of agile governance in optimizing the potential of Sekapuk Village, Gresik District. *RIGGS: Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business*, 1(2), 30–35. <https://doi.org/10.31004/riggs.v1i2.24>
- Annahar, N., Widianingsih, I., Muhtar, E. A., & Paskarina, C. (2023). The road to inclusive decentralized village governance in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 15(11), Article 8616. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118616>
- Ardi, A., Cahyadi, H., Sarwono, R., Limaho, H., Meilani, Y. F., & Pramono, R. (2023). The importance of a chief sustainability officer (CSO) in multinational and state-owned enterprises. *Journal of Human, Earth, and Future*, 4(3), 303–315. <https://doi.org/10.28991/HEF-2023-04-03-04>
- Armiwulan, H., Manik, D. V. B., & Rahman, R. A. (2021). Mass organization disbandment in Indonesia: Is democracy embattled? *Croatian International Relations Review*, 27(87), 177–198. <http://cirrj.org/index.php/cirrj/article/view/485>
- Bertilsson, J. (2023). Organising stakeholder participation in global climate governance: The effects of resource dependency and institutional logics in the green climate fund. *Environmental Values*, 32(5), 555–577. <https://doi.org/10.3197/096327123X16759401706498>
- Blühdorn, I., & Butzlaff, F. (2020). Democratization beyond the post-democratic turn: Towards a research agenda on new conceptions of citizen participation. *Democratization*, 27(3), 369–388. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510347.2019.1707808>
- Bolton, D., Landells, T., & Habib, M. (2023). Collaborating and competing in development agendas: State-civil society tensions in achieving SDGs. In D. Crowther & S. Seifi (Eds.), *The complexities of sustainability* (pp. 77–120). World Scientific. https://doi.org/10.1142/9789811258756_0004
- Borkowska, M., Kawalerowicz, J., Elgenius, G., & Phillimore, J. (2023). Civil society, neighbourhood diversity and deprivation in UK and Sweden. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 35, 451–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-023-00609-4>
- Castellano, R. (2023). Opportunities and obstacles: Consulting civil society organizations and human trafficking policy. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 34(5), 1050–1067. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-022-00522-2>
- Chandra, A. (2023). Legal aid and human rights: A reflection. *Jurnal Meta-Yuridis*, 6(2), 49–56.
- Della Porta, D. (2020). Building bridges: Social movements and civil society in times of crisis. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 31(5), 938–948. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-020-00199-5>
- Diprose, R. (2023). Brokerage, power and gender equity: How empowerment-focused civil society organizations bolster women’s influence in rural Indonesia. *Journal of International Development*, 35(3), 401–425. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3770>
- Durlauf, S. N. (2002). Bowling alone: A review essay. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 47(3), 259–273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681\(01\)00210-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681(01)00210-4)

- Fisher-Grafy, H., & Halabi, R. (2023). “The ‘Unpopular’ Children Don’t Flow and Destroy the Atmosphere”: Social Flow in Latency Prevents Peer Exclusion. *American Journal of Qualitative Research*, 7(4), 64-78. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ajqr/13599>
- Gramsci, A. (2006). State and civil society. In A. Sharma & A. Gupta (Eds.), *The anthropology of the state: A reader* (pp. 71–85.) Blackwell Publishing.
- Gusman, D. (2023). Handling criminal cases of corruption involving active Indonesian National Army (TNI) Soldiers. *Journal of Law, Politics and Humanities*, 3(4), 392–402. <https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v3i4.262>
- Hastono, D. W., & Ratnasari, M. (2020). Social return on investment (SROI) for civil society organization (CSO) in Indonesia (A case study of Rumah Dongeng Pelangi). In *Proceedings of 3rd Asia Pacific Management Research Conference (APMRC 2019)* (pp. 202–205). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200812.034>
- Irawan, I. (2023). Advocacy strategies of civil society organisations in decentralised Indonesia: An exploration using a policy window framework. *Khazanah Sosial*, 5(3), 534–550. <https://doi.org/10.15575/ks.v5i3.29547>
- Jönsson, C., & Tallberg, J. (2023). Opening up to civil society: access, participation, and impact. In A. D. Edgar (Ed.), *Handbook on governance in international organizations* (pp. 177–196). Edward Elgar Publishing. https://ideas.repec.org/h/elg/eechap/20648_12.html
- Julastri, R., & Tamma, S. (2024). The involvement of Walhi Region of South Sulawesi in environmental politics against the polemic of the Lae-Lae Island Reclamation Plan. In *Proceedings of the World Conference on Governance and Social Sciences (WCGSS 2023)* (pp. 943–953). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-236-1_103
- Knox, C., & Sharipova, D. (2024). Authoritarianism and civil society in Central Asia: Shifting boundaries. *Journal of Civil Society*, 20(2), 109–129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2324874>
- Latepo, I., Suharto, S., & Nurdin, N. (2021). Understanding Muslim preaching students’ use of social media. *International Journal of International Relations, Media and Mass Communication Studies*, 7(1), 52–66. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3856469>
- Levine, A. C., Park, A., Adhikari, A., Alejandria, M. C. P., Bradlow, B. H., Lopez-Portillo, M. F., Mutwafy, S., Zumbyte, I., & Heller, P. (2023). The role of civil society organizations (CSOs) in the COVID-19 response across the Global South: A multinational, qualitative study. *PLOS Global Public Health*, 3(9), Article e0002341. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002341>
- Levkoe, C., Andréé, P., Ballamingie, P., Tasala, K., Wilson, A., & Korzun, M. (2023). Civil society engagement in food systems governance in Canada: Experiences, gaps, and possibilities. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 12(2), 267–286. <https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2023.122.005>
- Liang, L., & Li, Y. (2023). How does government support promote digital economy development in China? The mediating role of regional innovation ecosystem resilience. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188, Article 122328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122328>
- Mahardhika, J. C. (2023). Socio-economical characteristics and determinants of Indonesian national health insurance subsidized by the government in Jakarta. *Medical Clinical Update*, 2(1), 1–7.
- Mai, B. T., Nguyen, P. V., Ton, U. N. H., & Ahmed, Z. U. (2023). Government policy, IT capabilities, digital transformation, and innovativeness in post-Covid context: Case of Vietnamese SMEs. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 32(2) 333–356. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-11-2022-3480>

- Mansoor, M. (2021). Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and government agency's provision of quality information on social media during COVID-19. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(4), Article 101597. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101597>
- Nedziwe, C. L., & Tella, O. (2023). Non-state actors as transnational agents. In *Transnational activities of women-focused civil society actors in Southern Africa* (pp. 49–69). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-29537-9_3
- Neumann, R., Pfetsch, B., Hutter, S., Koschut, S., Schieferdecker, D., & Specht, J. (2023). The rhetoric of solidarity: Nature and measurement of social cohesion in the self-representation of civil society organizations. *Social Indicators Research*, 169(3), 863–882. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-023-03163-0>
- Obibuaku, U. L., & Edeji, O. C. (2024). Fostering socio-economic rights and human dignity through collaborative partnerships: The role of civil society organisations. *African Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 32(2), 185–216. <https://doi.org/10.3366/ajicl.2024.0482>
- Pham, Q. N. (2018). Book Review: Visual method in social research. *American Journal of Qualitative Research*, 2(2), 143-144.
- Prastiwi, J. H. (2023). Feminization of migration: Redistribution of village government powers and resources to protect women migrant workers. *Publisia: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Publik*, 8(1), 53–70. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6907/b60261ee308ffe2e82b49e39748fd05d157c.pdf>
- Prince, A. (2023). Culturally and socially responsible assessment: theory, research, and practice. *American Journal of Qualitative Research*, 7(1), 222-225. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ajqr/12871>
- Rahayu, S., Laraswati, D., Permadi, D. B., Sahide, M. A., & Maryudi, A. (2023). Only a noise? The role of non-governmental organizations in the policy processes of a new social forestry model in Indonesia. *Small-Scale Forestry*, 22(2), 253–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-022-09525-9>
- Rahman, M. T., Husni, H., & Putri, S. R. (2024). Analysis of the role of the KontraS Institution in advocating for cases of human rights violations in the City of Medan. *Kampret Journal*, 3(2), 33–39. <https://plus62.isha.or.id/index.php/kampret/article/view/191>
- Ralston, R., Wagner-Rizvi, T., van Schalkwyk, M. C., Maani, N., & Collin, J. (2024). The WHO Foundation in global health governance: Depoliticizing corporate philanthropy. *Social Science & Medicine*, 344, Article 116515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2023.116515>
- Robet, R., Fitri, M. R., & Kabelen, M. C. S. (2023). The state and human rights under Joko Widodo's Indonesia. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 9(2), Article 2286041. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2023.2286041>
- Rusydianta, M. (2021). Reformulating fraud crimes under Article 378 of the Criminal Code based on restorative justice values. *Prophetic Law Review*, 3(2), 220–243. <https://doi.org/10.20885/PLR.vol3.iss2.art6>
- Santos, E., Carvalho, M., & Martins, S. (2023). Sustainable water management: Understanding the socioeconomic and cultural dimensions. *Sustainability*, 15(17), Article 13074. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151713074>
- Setyorini, I. D., & Andini, A. N. (2023). Breaking the silence: Civil society organizations promoting women's personal security against sexual violence in Jombang Islamic boarding schools. *Salasika*, 6(1), 67–83. <https://doi.org/10.36625/sj.v6i1.114>
- Sörbom, A., & Jezierska, K. (2023). Social capital and polarization: The case of Polish think tanks. *Journal of Civil Society*, 19(4), 347–365. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2023.2242517>

- Sukatman, S., & Wuryaningrum, R. (2024). The origin of the name Kecak dance, sociocultural context, symbolic power, and management challenges of the Indonesian tourism industry in global competition. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, *11*(1), Article 2313868. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2024.2313868>
- Suparno, S., & Qorib, Q. (2024). Strengthening the role of advocates in providing legal assistance to Indonesian society. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Sains*, *5*(1), 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.59141/jiss.v5i1.943>
- Susen, S. (2023). A new structural transformation of the public sphere? With, against, and beyond Habermas. *Society*, *60*, 842–867. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12115-023-00908-y>
- Suwardi, A., & Chambers, P. (2023). The evolution of women's civil society organizations in the Deep South of Thailand. *Asian Affairs: An American Review*, *50*(1), 51–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927678.2022.2132084>
- Syahuri, T., Saleh, G., & Abrilianti, M. (2022). The role of the corruption eradication commission supervisory board within the Indonesian constitutional structure. *Cogent Social Sciences*, *8*(1), Article 2035913. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2035913>
- Syakur, A., & Khoiroh, M. (2021). Local wisdom for civil religious harmony in Indonesia: An ethnographic investigation on Mbah Moni's grave ritual in Babatan village, Wiyung sub-district, Surabaya city, Jawa Timur province. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, *42*(3), 674–681. <https://so04.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/kjss/article/view/253511>
- Szczepaniak, M., Geise, A., & Bariyah, N. (2022). Impact of institutional determinants on income inequalities in Indonesia during the Era Reformasi. *Journal of Asian Economics*, *82*, Article 101526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2022.101526>
- Tambunan, D. (2023). Indonesia under threat: The danger of corruption to political legitimacy. *Asian Journal of Comparative Politics*, *8*(1), 112–140. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20578911221124965>
- Urdapilleta-Carrasco, J., Parra-Vázquez, M. R., & Rivera-Núñez, T. (2023). A systemic and transdisciplinary study to contribute to decolonial futures in two indigenous municipalities of Chiapas, Mexico. *Systems*, *11*(7), Article 342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11070342>
- Weber, P., Krawczyk, K., Ezeonu, B. I., & Tuggle, F. (2024). How to leverage action Research to develop context-specific capacity building for civil society organizations. *Nonprofit Policy Forum*, *15*(1), 49–69. <https://doi.org/10.1515/npf-2022-0041>
- Wie, T.K. (2007). Indonesia's Economic Performance under Soeharto's New Order. [v20n2_263.pdf \(snu.ac.kr\)](https://www.snu.ac.kr/~v20n2_263.pdf)
- Wojczewski, S., Grohma, P., & Kutalek, R. (2023). Risk communication and community engagement with vulnerable groups: Perceptions of social-services CSOs during COVID-19. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *94*, Article 103817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2023.103817>

Notes on Contributors

Yudi Rusfiana is currently as vice rector for student affairs of Institut Pemerintahan Dalam Negeri, Jatinangor, Indonesia. He earned a bachelor's degree at Universitas Langlangbuana, Bandung, Indonesia, in 1997, majoring in Governance Science, a master's degree in administration sciences at Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia, in 2004, and Doctoral Degree in Social and Political Sciences in 2014 at Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, West Java, Indonesia. He is regarded as one of Indonesia's premier social and political researchers.

Dewi Kurniasih obtained a bachelor's degree in social sciences (S.Sos) in government science, a Master of Science (M.Si) degree in Sociology, and a Doctoral degree (Dr) in Sociology from Universitas Padjadjaran Bandung in 1998, 2004, and 2011 respectively. Currently working as a Permanent Lecturer at the Government Science Study Program at Universitas Komputer Indonesia, Bandung.

ORCID

Yudi Rusfiana, <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3997-1528>

Dewi Kurniasih, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4921-2093>